UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,821	10/29/2003	Robert Cochran	200311026-1	9535
22879 7590 11/26/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			EXAMINER	
			VY, HUNG T	
	JAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	*
			MAIL DATE _	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/697,821	COCHRAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hung T. Vy	2163			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	<u> </u>	with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	`				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2007.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.				
	, <del>_</del>				
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	,				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOTH CONSIGERATION.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		hy the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
·		0.440(.) (1) (2)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	to have been received				
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li></ul>		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	,	<del></del>			
application from the International Burea	<u> </u>	Treceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , ,,,	t received.			
	.^				
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of  Other:	Informal Patent Application			
the state of the s	······································	<del></del>			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. As of entry of the amendment filed on 10/10/2007 and RCE filed on 10/10/2007, claims 1-25 are pending in this application. Upon reconsideration, Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The previous office action mailed on 04/10/2007 is withdrawn.

## **Summary of claims**

2. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Claims 1-25 are rejected.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 10-13, 18-22 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Belsan et al. (U.S. patent No. 5,403,639).

**Regarding claim 1**, Belsan et al. discloses a storage system and a method of managing information storage in a storage system comprising:

a storage array containing a plurality of storage devices of at least three different and distinct controller-to-storage device bus interface technology types (i.e., "The data storage and management capability can include changing the format of the data stored to accommodate various combinations of heterogeneous data processors" (col. 2, line 18-22)) including volatile solid stage (i.e., "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10), and "the disk drive array data storage sub-system 100" (col. 8, line 30-35)

Art Unit: 2163

and "tape drive subsystem that can be an automated magnetic tape cartridge library system" (col. 8, line 15-18) and Examiner asserts that three different are "cache", "disk drive array" and "magnetic tape cartridge" (fig. 2)) and having a respective class hierarchy (i.e., "The media used to store the data can be a disk array or any other media or combinations of media such as a disk array in combination with a backend automated magnetic tape cartridge library system, including a plurality of tape drives such that the file serve system comprises a hierarchical data storage system containing multiple types of media" (col. 3, line 30-35)); and

a controller coupled to the storage device plurality that executes hierarchical storage management and selectively controls (i.e., "The media used to store the data can be a disk array or any other media or combinations of media such as a disk array in combination with a backend automated magnetic tape cartridge library system, including a plurality of tape drives such that the file serve system comprises a hierarchical data storage system containing multiple types of media" (col. 3, line 30-35)) usage of storage according to the different and distinct controller-to-storage device bus interface technology type (i.e., "a third form of redundancy consists of high usage patterns" (col. 12, line 1-20) and "The disk drives 122-1 to 125-r are significantly less expensive" (col.8, line 40-42) and "Data that is stored in low access cylinders" (col. 32, line 10-15)) whereby the controller allocates hierarchically inferior The House Street Street storage for temporary storage unexpected mission-critical storage (i.e., "when data is collected and written to a cylinder separate from the normal destaging cylinder, that data is read-only or low access relative to the rest of the data in the logical cylinder...to the hierarchical algorithm since they differentiate data into low access and regular access logical cylinders"" (col. 31, line 40-47) and Examiner asserts that "data is collected" is equivalent with "temporary storage" of claimed invention), and hierarchical storage management (HSM)-type low usage data storage (i.e., "control unit initiates the migration process at step 1501 and selects a logical cylinder at step 1502, identified as a low access cylinder by calculating the access rate from the last three fields in the Free space Directory Entry as illustrate in Fig. 14" (col. 32, line 25-30)).

Art Unit: 2163

With respect to claim 2, Belsan et al. discloses the storage array contains a hierarchy of storage devices connected by at least three different and distinct controller to-storage device bus interface technology types and having a respective performance hierarchy (i.e., "The media used to store the data can be a disk array or any other media or combinations of media such as a disk array in combination with a backend automated magnetic tape cartridge library system, including a plurality of tape drives such that the file serve system comprises a hierarchical data storage system containing multiple types of media" (col. 3, line 30-35) and "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10), and "the disk drive array data storage sub-system 100" (col. 8, line 30-35) and "tape drive subsystem that can be an automated magnetic tape cartridge library system" (col. 8, line 15-18) and Examiner asserts that three different are "cache", "disk drive array" and "magnetic tape cartridge" (fig. 2))

With respect to claim 3, Belsan et al. discloses the storage array contains a hierarchy of storage devices connected by of at least three different and distinct controller-to-storage device bus interface technology types and having a respective economic or cost hierarchy (i.e., "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10), and "the disk drive array data storage sub-system 100" (col. 8, line 30-35) and "tape drive subsystem that can be an automated magnetic tape cartridge library system" (col. 8, line 15-18) and "The disk drives 122-1 to 125-r are significantly less expensive" (col. 8, line 40-42) and Examiner asserts that three different are "cache", "disk drive array" and "magnetic tape cartridge" (fig. 2)).

With respect to claim 4, Belsan et al. discloses a solid-state cache (*i.e.*, "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10)) and shared memory (14) supplying storage as a distinct storage device type for a level of hierarchical storage for a level of hierarchical storage (figs. 1-2).

Regarding claim 10, Belsan et al. discloses the same limitation of claim 1 (see rejection of claim 1).

Art Unit: 2163

With respect to claim 11, Belsan et al. discloses the storage array contains a hierarchy of storage devices connected by at least three different and distinct controller to-storage device bus interface technology types and having a respective performance hierarchy (i.e., "The media used to store the data can be a disk array or any other media or combinations of media such as a disk array in combination with a backend automated magnetic tape cartridge library system, including a plurality of tape drives such that the file serve system comprises a hierarchical data storage system containing multiple types of media" (col. 3, line 30-35) and "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10), and "the disk drive array data storage sub-system 100" (col. 8, line 30-35) and "tape drive subsystem that can be an automated magnetic tape cartridge library system" (col. 8, line 15-18) and Examiner asserts that three different are "cache", "disk drive array" and "magnetic tape cartridge" (fig. 2))

With respect to claim 12, Belsan et al. discloses the storage array contains a hierarchy of storage devices connected by of at least three different and distinct controller-to-storage device bus interface technology types and having a respective economic or cost hierarchy (i.e., "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10), and "the disk drive array data storage sub-system 100" (col. 8, line 30-35) and "tape drive subsystem that can be an automated magnetic tape cartridge library system" (col. 8, line 15-18) and "The disk drives 122-1 to 125-r are significantly less expensive" (col. 8, line 40-42) and Examiner asserts that three different are "cache", "disk drive array" and "magnetic tape cartridge" (fig. 2)).

With respect to claim. 13, Belsan et al. discloses at least a volatile-shared memory, a relatively higher performance non-volatile storage (i.e., a plurality of segments of volatile cache memory 113" (col. 17, line 1-5)), and a relatively lower performance non-volatile storage (i.e., "Changes to the virtual track directory are journaled to a non-volatile store" (col. 19, line 3-7)).

Regarding claim 18, Belsan et al. discloses the same limitation of claim 1 (see rejection of claim 1).

Art Unit: 2163

With respect to claim 19, Belsan et al. discloses a solid-state cache (*i.e.*, "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10)) and shared memory (14) supplying storage as a distinct storage device type for a level of hierarchical storage for a level of hierarchical storage (figs. 1-2)

With respect to claim 20, Belsan et al. discloses an hierarchy of storage devices having a respective performance hierarchy (i.e., "The media used to store the data can be a disk array or any other media or combinations of media such as a disk array in combination with a backend automated magnetic tape cartridge library system, including a plurality of tape drives such that the file serve system comprises a hierarchical data storage system containing multiple types of media" (col. 3, line 30-35) and "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10), and "the disk drive array data storage sub-system 100" (col. 8, line 30-35) and "tape drive subsystem that can be an automated magnetic tape cartridge library system" (col. 8, line 15-18) and Examiner asserts that three different are "cache", "disk drive array" and "magnetic tape cartridge" (fig. 2))

With respect to claim 21, Belsan et al. discloses an hierarchy of storage devices having a respective economic or cost hierarchy (i.e., "cache memory 113" (col. 9, line 10), and "the disk drive array data storage sub-system 100" (col. 8, line 30-35) and "tape drive subsystem that can be an automated magnetic tape cartridge library system" (col. 8, line 15-18) and "The disk drives 122-1 to 125-r are significantly less expensive" (col. 8, line 40-42) and Examiner asserts that three different are "cache", "disk drive array" and "magnetic tape cartridge" (fig. 2)).

With respect to claim 22, Belsan et al. discloses a cabinet enclosing (11, 13) the disk array and the controller (figs. 1-2).

Regarding claims 24-25, Belsan et al. discloses the same limitation of claim 1 (see rejection of claim 1).

Art Unit: 2163

# Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5-9, 14-17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Belsan et al. (U.S. patent No. 5,403,639) in view of Lee et al. (U.S. Pat. No. US007047358B2).

Regarding claims 5 and 14, Belsan et al. disclose's all limitations of claimed invention recited in claims 1, 10 and 18 except for small computer Systems Interface (SCSI) and/or Fiber Channel (FC) storage device coupled to the controller by SCSI and/or FC Buses and supply storage as a distinct controller-to-storage device bus interface technology type for a level of hierarchical storage. However, Lee et al. discloses small computer Systems Interface (SCSI) and/or Fiber Channel (FC) storage device coupled to the controller by SCSI and/or FC Buses and supply storage as a distinct controller-to-storage device bus interface technology type for a level of hierarchical storage (i.e., "NVRAM is often employed in higher-end SycSI and Fibre Channel RAID controllers because it improves performance for many applications and confers reliability benefits in the face of power failure" (col. 5, line 65-67 and col. 6, line 1-5)). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Belsan et al.'s system to use SCSI and/or FC in order to improves performance for many applications and confers reliability benefits in the face of power failure for the stated purpose has

Art Unit: 2163

been well known in the art as evidenced by teaching Lee et al. (col. 5, line 65-67 and col. 6, line 1-5).

Regarding claim 6, Belsan et al. discloses all limitations of claimed invention recited in claim 1 except for serial AT-attached (SATA) storage devices coupled to the controller by a SATA bus. However, Lee et al. discloses serial AT-attached (SATA) storage devices coupled to the controller by a SATA bus (i.e., There is some expectation within the ATA community that the widespread adoption of serial ATA will result in an increase of drive counts within standard rackmount servers" (col. 6, line 2-7) and supplying storage as a distinct controller tostorage device bus interface technology type for a level of hierarchical storage (Examiner asserts Lee et al. discloses "serial ATA will result in an increase of drive counts" " (col. 6, line 2-7) so therefore, SATA, SCSI and Fibre are supported by controller for level of hierarchical storage and further, with the same structure as SATA, SCSI and Fibre, those storage will supports the same as claimed invention)). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Belsan et al.'s system to use SATA in order to have opportunity involves its cost saving when storing the data that is less accessed for the stated purpose has been well known in the art as evidenced by teaching Lee et al. (col. 6, line 4-7).

Regarding claims 7, 15, and 23, Belsan et al. and Lee et al. discloses all limitation of claim 7 that included the limitations of claims 4-6 (see rejection above) and with the same motivation as claims 5-6 above and Lee et al. discloses "ATA storage opportunity involves its cost saving over alternative drive" (col. 6. line 4-7).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Belsan et al. discloses an hierarchical storage management controller for usage with a disk array (i.e., "The media used to store the data can be a disk array or any other media or combinations of media such as a disk array in combination with a backend

Art Unit: 2163

automated magnetic tape cartridge library system, including a plurality of tape drives such that the file serve system comprises a hierarchical data storage system containing multiple types of media" (col. 3, line 30-35)) and Lee et al. discloses FC and SATA disk drivers and that allocates SATA storage as uncommitted and unstructured storage (see rejection 5-6 above and Examiner asserts that with the same structure and physical of SATA disk and FC, therefore, those storages interface will have the same function as allocating as uncommitted and unstructured storage).

Regarding claims 9 and 17, Belsan et al. and Lee et al. discloses same limitation as recited in claim 5-6 (see rejection above) and further, Belsan et al. discloses data transfers including logical unit copies and snapshots (i.e., "using the copy table implementation of the snapshot copy operation;" (col. 21, line 5-10 and col. 20, line 30-40)).

#### Conclusion

5. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

ment on beting place of the late of the control of

Art Unit: 2163

Page 10

application may be obtained from either private Pair or Public Pair. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private Pair only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung T. Vy

Art Unit 2163.

November 24, 2007